## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<i>)</i>	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number (R-10-78243 PV)
Baltazar Loundes Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
307/2000	1
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 7/10, 2000.
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney	Annard. The United States was represented by
Assistant U.S. Attorney D. Patton.	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense descr	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(1	(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has ela	psed since the date of conviction or the release of the person
from imprisonment, whichever is later.	material and the state of the s
safety of any other person and the community.	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
	nent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
defendant has committed an offense	ment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
	nment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 2 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	The control of more is presented in a control of
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a fired	arm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no con	ndition or combination of conditions will reason apply assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	community
Ar No presumption applies.	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURS NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
/ / The defendant has not come forward with any e will be ordered detained.	vidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
	An analysis share a small cold in the state of the state
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence	to reput the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United S	tates.
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR IN	
/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance	
conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defen	dant as required, AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convi	ncing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the	community.
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF	
The Court has taken into account the factors set of	out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
at hearing and finds as follows: The defendant is the	
	I was attained w/ o boil in the S.D.
of co. He has at least one fellowing	and several and invarious Convictions.
He pas a substance abuse problemly	on should believe Conditive.
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have wait	ved written findings. Con Exidenced by his violation
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	the state of the s
orrections facility separate to the cytest and the first separate to the	ey General or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons	3 awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the	Tor private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a
deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose	of an appearance in connection with a court was at the
	an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Dated: 7/20/10	There W. hu. I he
PA	TRICIA V. TRUMBULL
	ited States Magistrate Judge
	J-

AUSA \_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_